

Exhibit 1

this position I was responsible for all aspects of the program including processing of applications, promotion of the program, and dispute resolution.

4. I received a Bachelor of Science and Engineering degree from the University of Arizona, a Professional Engineer License in Mechanical Engineering, and a Master in Business Administration from California State Polytechnic University, Pomona.

5. I have submitted testimony to this Commission in SCE's 2008 through 2016 Reliability Services filings (Docket Nos. ER08-82, ER09-95, ER10-105, ER11-1974, ER12-201, ER13-227, ER14-222, ER15-216, ER16-174, ER17-232, ER18-184, and ER19-219), and in SCE's TO4, TO5, TO6, and TO2018 transmission rate case proceedings (Docket Nos. ER08-1343, ER09-1534, ER11-3697, and ER18-169).

6. The purpose of my Declaration is to describe SCE's proposed revisions to the retail rate calculation of SCE's formula transmission rate ("Formula Rate") necessary to implement the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") decision on SCE's retail rate design, Decision (D.) 18-11-027 (the "CPUC General Rate Case Phase 2 Order"), issued on December 7, 2018.

7. My Declaration is organized as follows:

- In Section II, I describe the changes to retail rate design resulting from the CPUC General Rate Case Phase 2 Order.
- In Section III, I describe the revisions to the retail rate module of the Formula Rate necessary to conform the calculation of retail transmission rates to the CPUC General Rate Case Phase 2 Order.
- Attachment 1 is the CPUC General Rate Case Phase 2 Order.

II. THE CPUC GENERAL RATE CASE
PHASE 2 ORDER

8. On June 30, 2017, SCE filed its 2018 General Rate Case Phase 2 Application (A.17-06-030) to establish marginal costs, allocate revenues, and design rates for all rate classes. In its Application, SCE made proposals for the allocation of distribution and generation revenue requirements, in addition to specific rate design proposals for various classes of customers that incorporated the New Time-of-Use (TOU) periods adopted in SCE's 2016 Rate Design Window Application (A.16-09-003).

9. The rate design and revenue allocation issues in SCE's 2018 GRC Phase 2 Application were ultimately resolved through a settlement process that produced nine separate settlement agreements to cover the unique aspects of the various rates groups and settlement subjects. On December 7, 2018, the Commission issued CPUC General Rate Case Phase 2 Order, adopting, without modification, all of the settlement agreements filed in the proceeding and resolving all remaining issues. The CPUC General Rate Case Phase 2 Order also established March 1, 2019 as the implementation date. (see footnotes 53 and 59 of Attachment 1).

10. The CPUC General Rate Case Phase 2 Order did not result in any changes to the retail transmission rates or the allocation of transmission revenue requirement as determined in the Formula Rate. The CPUC General Rate Case Phase 2 Order did however change the title of certain Rate Group references and added new optional retail rate schedules that incorporate the same retail transmission rates as the base rate option in their respective Rate Groups. These new titles and rate schedules need to be added to Schedule 33 of SCE's Formula Spreadsheet to ensure the schedule is aligned with SCE's CPUC-authorized retail rates.

III. REVISIONS NEEDED TO THE FORMULA RATE
TO CONFORM TO CPUC GENERAL RATE CASE
PHASE 2 ORDER

11. Schedule 33 is designed with the flexibility to handle changes to certain aspects of CPUC-authorized retail rate design without needing to make a revision to the Formula Rate revenue allocation. For example, if the CPUC creates new Rate Groups or Rate Schedules, the Formula Rate Tariff can accept the new or revised Rate Groups or Rate Schedules without revising the Formula Rate allocation, because the names of the Rate Groups and Rate Schedules are designated as inputs to the Formula Rate.

12. However, not all aspects of prospective retail rate design are anticipated and incorporated in the existing formula format. Accordingly, if the CPUC revises the retail rate design in a way not anticipated or reflected in the Formula Rate or inputs thereto, such that changes to the Formula Rate are necessitated in order to remain consistent with the new CPUC rate design, then SCE must revise the Formula Rate accordingly.

13. The CPUC General Rate Case Phase 2 Order summarized above changes the titles of certain Rate Group references that will require revisions to Schedule 33 of the Formula Rate Spreadsheet. Specifically, SCE must update the names of CPUC Rate Group “GS-1” and “GS-2” to “TOU-GS-1” and “TOU-GS-2,” respectively. The Rate Groups, themselves, are not substantively altered.

14. In addition, SCE must add new retail rate schedule titles adopted by the CPUC General Rate Case Phase 2 Order. New rate schedule titles are added to the following lines of Schedule 33: 26a, 26b, 26d, 26e, 26f, 26g, 26h, 26i, 26j, 26k, 26l, 26m, and 26n.

15. In this filing, there are no proposed changes to the Formula Rate protocols. This means that the way revenues are allocated to the various Rate Groups will not change. The

proposed changes will have no effect on the Formula Spreadsheet's approved rate groups or allocation methodology.

IV. CONCLUSION

16. My Declaration demonstrates that the revisions SCE is proposing to Schedule 33 of the Formula Rate Spreadsheet are necessary and properly reflect the CPUC General Rate Case Phase 2 Order.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statement is true and correct.

Executed on the 28th day of February, 2019, at Rosemead, California.



Robert A. Thomas